2

Ronald L. M. Goldman, Esq. (State Bar #33422) Timothy A. Loranger, Esq. (State Bar #225422) Clay Robbins, III, Esq. (State Bar # 101275) BAUM HEDLUND ARISTEI & GOLDMAN, P.C. 10940Wilshire Boulevard., Suite 1600 Los Angeles, California 90024 Telephone: (310) 207-3233 Facsimile: (310) 207-4204

FILED

'JAN 1 8 2022

AR

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

Attorneys for Rachelle Sepulveda

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT

RACHELLE SEPULVEDA, individually, and as Successor-in-Interest for Ruben Anthony Sepulveda, deceased,

Plaintiff,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA; and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV2200080

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 1. DANGEROUS CONDITION OF PUBLIC PROPERTY (WRONGFUL DEATH)
- 2. DANGEROUS CONDITION OF PUBLIC PROPERTY (SURVIVAL)
- 3. NEGLIGENCE (WRONGFUL DEATH)
- 4. NEGLIGENCE (SUVIVAL)

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

[Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000]

COMES NOW the plaintiff, RACHELLE SEPULVEDA, individually, and as the Successor-in-Interest for her father, Ruben Anthony Sepulveda, deceased, and for causes of action against the defendants, and each of them, complain and allege as follows:

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff RACHELLE SEPULVEDA is an individual and the adult daughter of Ruben Anthony Sepulveda ("Mr. Sepulveda"), deceased. At all times relevant hereto RACHELLE SEPULVEDA was a resident of the City of Coeur d'Alene, Kootenai County, State of Idaho.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto, defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA by and through the DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION and the DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("STATE OF CALIFORNIA"), and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, owned, operated, controlled, managed, designed, planned, engineered, maintained, and inspected, and had the power to prevent, remedy, or guard against dangerous conditions on US 101 near Redcrest, California, and dangers to the motoring public originating from within the Humboldt Redwood State Park, as is more fully described herein.
- 3. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of defendants herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them are unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names, and plaintiff will ask for leave to amend this complaint when their true names and/or capacities are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to and negligently caused the injuries and damages to plaintiff as herein alleged.
- 4. Plaintiff, RACHELLE SEPULVEDA, individually and as successor-in-interest to Ruben Anthony Sepulveda, filed a claim with the defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA in full compliance with defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA's requirements on August 12, 2021. Defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA failed to respond in any way to the timely demand and as such it is considered rejected.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over these defendants in that, at all relevant times, they are domiciled or otherwise "at home" in California, they and/or their authorized

agents caused plaintiff's harm in California, and/or they purposefully availed themselves of conducting activities within California, this action arises out of or relates to defendants' contacts with California, and exercising jurisdiction over them would be constitutionally reasonable.

- 6. Venue is proper with this Court pursuant to *California Code of Civil Procedure* § 395(a) in that at all times mentioned herein and at the time of the filing of this action, the injury causing death occurred in the County of Humboldt.
- 7. The damages alleged herein are in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.

<u>FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – DANGEROUS CONDITION</u> <u>OF PUBLIC PROPERTY (WRONGFUL DEATH)</u>

(Against defendants STATE OF CALIFORNIA and Does 1 through 25, inclusive, only.)

- 8. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 7 by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. On or about May 10, 2021, at approximately 12:30 a.m., Ruben Anthony Sepulveda, deceased, was traveling northbound on US 101 Highway near Redcrest, California when a Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) tree ("Subject Tree"), located within the Humboldt Redwood State Park, failed and fell across the full width of the roadway, whereupon multiple vehicles collided with the Subject Tree. Mr. Sepulveda suffered catastrophic injuries which included, among other things, laceration of his spleen, acute kidney injury, multiple rib fractures, left humeral neck fracture, right tibial plateau fracture, fracture of the radius and ulna of the left forearm. As a result of the trauma and multiple orthopedic surgeries, Mr. Sepulveda suffered a myocardial infarction and a stroke which resulted in a tracheostomy, installation of a feeding tube, and ultimately his falling into a persistent vegetative state. After a period of weeks, Mr. Sepulveda was transferred to a hospice facility where he died from his injuries.
- 10. The US 101 Highway is a north-south United States Numbered Highway that runs through California. The US 101 Highway is an improved, paved and lined roadway, which wholly exists outside of the Humboldt Redwood State Park and Mr. Sepulveda was not within,

upon, or partaking in any of the amenities provided by the Humboldt Redwood State Park at the time of the crash.

- 11. On or about May 10, 2021, defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and/or their management, administrative, designers, planners, engineers, maintenance personnel, inspectors and/or other employees, staff, agents or contractors, acting within the course and scope of their duties and/or employment (or those of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA) failed to properly install, maintain, repair, operate, monitor, inspect, and/or control the subject roadway located on US 101 near Redcrest, in that, among other things, the condition of the road, and decayed and diseased condition of the Subject Tree created an inherently dangerous condition of public property and created a trap, peculiar risk and nuisance.
- 12. Defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and/or their management, administrators, designers, planners, engineers, maintenance personnel, inspectors and/or other employees, staff, agents or contractors, acting within the course and scope of their duties and through negligent or wrongful acts or omissions created, or allowed to be created, a dangerous condition under Gov. Code § 835. The installation, maintenance, repair, operation, monitoring and/or control of the roadway and/or shoulder were done negligently and inadequately, creating a hazard, trap, and dangerous condition under Gov. Code § 835. It also created a peculiar risk and trap for northbound vehicles traffic on Highway 99 near the Avenue 16 (Exit 156).
- 13. Defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and/or their management, administrators, designers, planners, engineers, maintenance personnel, inspectors and/or other employees, staff, agents or contractors, acting within the course and scope of their duties and through negligent or wrongful acts or omissions created, or allowed to be created, a dangerous condition under Gov. Code § 835. The maintenance, repair, operation, monitoring, inspection, and/or control of the trees within the Humboldt Redwood State Park, one of which failed, due to, among other things, decay and disease, were done negligently and inadequately, creating a hazard, trap, and dangerous condition under Gov. Code § 835. It also

1.5

created a peculiar risk and trap for northbound vehicles traffic on Highway 99 near the Avenue 16 (Exit 156).

- 14. The failure of the Subject Tree, due to, among other things, decay and disease, and the subsequent collision were foreseeable to defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, yet they failed to protect and/or warn (or adequately warn) drivers. The STATE OF CALIFORNIA negligently, unreasonably, and improperly owned, operated, controlled, designed, planned, engineered, maintained, inspected, repaired, failed to repair the roadway and shoulder, and had the power to prevent, remedy, or guard against dangerous conditions, and thereby created a dangerous condition exposing drivers to such dangerous condition.
- 15. The dangerous condition created a reasonably foreseeable risk of the kind of injuries which were sustained, and (a) a negligent or wrongful act or omission of an employee of defendants STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, created the dangerous conditions; and/or (b) it had actual or constructive notice of the dangerous conditions a sufficient time prior to the injury to have taken measures to protect eliminate or protect the public from the dangerous conditions. These dangerous conditions created a substantial risk of injury when the roadway and the shoulder were used with due care and in the way in which they were intended.
- 16. The dangerous condition and the acts and omissions of defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive (and their management, administrators, designers, planners, engineers, maintenance personnel, inspectors, and/or other employees, staff, agents or contractors, acting within the course and scope of their duties), proximately caused plaintiff's and Mr. Sepulveda's injuries.
- 17. Further, these dangerous conditions were directly attributable wholly or in substantial part to a negligent or wrongful act of the employees of defendants STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and these employees had the authority and the funds and other means immediately available to take alternative action which would not have created the dangerous condition, and/or these employees had the authority and it was his/her/their responsibility to take adequate measures to protect against the dangerous condition at the expense

of the public entity and the funds and other means for doing so were immediately available to him/her/them, and he/she/they had actual or constructive notice of the dangerous condition a sufficient time prior to the injury to have taken measures to protect against the dangerous condition.

- 18. The negligence, recklessness, and failure of defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1 through 25, to inspect and remove trees that posed a hazard to the motoring public was a direct and proximate cause of Mr. Sepulveda's pre-death pain and suffering, and ultimate death, and the injuries that plaintiff has suffered due to the loss of her father, with whom she shared a close and loving relationship.
- 19. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and each of them, and as a direct and proximate result of the defects, Mr. Sepulveda died on May 10, 2021 experienced severe pain and suffering before dying as a result of injuries sustained as a direct and proximate result the incident described herein.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants, and each of them, Mr. Sepulveda and plaintiff's personal property was lost, damaged, or destroyed and as such both sustained a loss of said personal property, the value of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff has sustained the loss of support, services, and other financial benefits as well as the loss of love, care, society, companionship, comfort, affection, advice, and moral support.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants, and each of them, decedent's survivors, beneficiaries, and heirs have incurred the costs of decedent's funeral, burial, and related expenses.
- 23. Due to these acts and failures to act and dangerous conditions, defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and each of them and their employees are liable for Mr. Sepulveda's death and plaintiff's injuries under the Government Code sections, including, but not limited to, sections 815.2(a), 820(a), 830.8, 835 and 840.2.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – DANGEROUS CONDITION OF PUBLIC PROPERTY (SURVIVAL)

(Against defendants STATE OF CALIFORNIA and Does 1 through 25, inclusive, only.)

- 24. Plaintiff hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 23, by reference, as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. Plaintiff brings this cause of action as the Successor-in-Interest to her father, Ruben Anthony Sepulveda, deceased.
- 26. As a proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1 through 25, and each of them, Mr. Sepulveda suffered for an appreciable amount of time after the crash and as a result suffered severe physical and psychological pain, suffering, and disfigurement prior to death. Damages for pre-death "pain, suffering, and disfigurement" are permitted under *California Code of Civil Procedure* § 377.34 as of January 1, 2022.
- 27. As a further proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, STATE OF CALIFORNIA and DOES 1 through 25, and each of them, pain, suffering, and disfigurement, medical bills, loss of personal property, and funeral bills and expenses were incurred at the time of the death of Mr. Sepulveda as well as other damages alleged herein or which will be alleged by amendment of this Complaint at an appropriate time.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENCE (WRONGFUL DEATH)

(Against defendants Does 26 through 50, inclusive, only.)

- 28. Plaintiff hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 27, by reference, as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Defendants, DOES 26 through 50 and each of them, so negligently, carelessly, recklessly, wantonly, and unlawfully drove, operated, leased, maintained, serviced, equipped, conducted, controlled, and entrusted a vehicle so as to directly and proximately cause it to collide with Mr. Sepulveda's vehicle resulting his experiencing of pain, suffering, disfigurement, and death, and causing plaintiff to suffer a loss of her father's love, comfort, care, society, companionship, affection, advice, and moral support as described herein.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION – NEGLIGENCE (SURVIVAL)

(Against defendants Does 26 through 50, inclusive, only.)

- 30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 29, by reference, as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Plaintiff brings this cause of action as the Successor-in-Interest to her father, Ruben Anthony Sepulveda, deceased.
- 32. As a proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, DOES 26 through 50, and each of them, Mr. Sepulveda suffered for an appreciable amount of time after the crash and as a result suffered severe physical and psychological pain, suffering, and disfigurement prior to death. Damages for pre-death "pain, suffering, and disfigurement" are permitted under California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.34 as of January 1, 2022.
- 33. As a further proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, DOES 26 through 50 and each of them, medical bills, loss of personal property, and funeral bills and expenses were incurred at the time of the death of Mr. Sepulveda as well as other damages alleged herein or which will be alleged by amendment of this Complaint at an appropriate time.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE plaintiffs pray for judgment against defendants on all causes of action as follows:

- 1. For general damages according to proof;
- 2. For special damages according to proof;
- 3. For personal property damages according to proof;
- 4. For pre-death pain, suffering, and disfigurement pursuant to C.C.P. § 377.34;
- 4. For costs of the decedent's funeral, burial, and related expenses according to proof;
- 5. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- 6. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 7. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

1		
2	DATED: January 18, 2022	BAUM HEDLUND ARISTEI & GOLDMAN, P.C.
3		
4		
5		By: Timothy A. Loranger Timothy A. Loranger
6		Attorney for Plaintiff, RACHELLE SEPULVEDA
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury. DATED: January 18, 2022 BAUM HEDLUND ARISTEI & GOLDMAN, P.C. <u>Timothy</u> A. <u>Loranger</u> Timothy A Loranger By: Attorney for Plaintiff, RACHELLE SEPULVEDA