IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINIOS EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE FACTOR VIII OR IX CONCENTRATION BLOOD PRODUCTS LITIGATION MDL No. 986 No. 93 C 7452

This document relates to:

Peng, et al. v. Bayer Corp. et al., Case No. 04-cv-04868; Chang, et al. v. Bayer Corp. et al., Case No. 04-cv-04869; Ho, et al. v. Bayer Corp. et al., Case No. 06-cv-07012

BAYER'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO TAIWAN PLAINTIFFS' INTERROGATORIES 3, 4, AND 5

Defendant Bayer Corporation ("Bayer") hereby submits its responses to Taiwan Plaintiffs' Interrogatories 3, 4, and 5.

General Objections

All of Bayer's responses are made subject to the following general objections:

1. Bayer objects to the extent that the definitions, instructions, and interrogatories

seek information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege.

2. Bayer objects to the extent that the definitions, instructions, and interrogatories

purport to place any burden on Bayer greater than that imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any order of the Court.

3. Bayer objects that the definitions, instructions, and interrogatories, individually and together as a whole, are vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, harassing, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

1

4. Bayer objects that the definitions, instructions, and interrogatories seek information not relevant to the Court's forum non conveniens determination.

5. Due to passage of time and limited information available to Bayer, Bayer does not have access to all information requested in these interrogatories and has answered to the best of its current understanding. Bayer's investigation continues, and Bayer reserves the right to amend or supplement these responses.

Specific Objections and Responses

3. With regard to the February 19, 1998 News Release (Exhibit 2), Identify each person who participated in writing it.

RESPONSE: Bayer objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, harassing, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it seeks information not relevant to the Court's forum non conveniens determination. It also seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, as well as attorney work-product. Subject to and without waiving these objections and its general objections, and without waiving any applicable privileges and protections, Bayer responds that, to the best of Bayer's current understanding, Dr. Michael Strucksberg, an attorney living in Germany and employed at Bayer AG (Bayer's parent company), in the course of representing Bayer AG as legal counsel in connection with the resolution of potential litigation, and possibly others, participated in writing a version of a press release issued in February 1998 ("Press Release"). Today, Dr. Strucksberg is retired and lives in Germany. To the best of Bayer's current understanding, it is likely that an unidentified employee or employees of Bayer AG who worked in an office dealing with corporate communications, also located in Germany, also participated in writing a version of the Press Release. To the best of Bayer's current understanding, it is likely that Mr. CT Lee or a member of his law office, in the course of

2

representing Bayer as legal counsel in connection with the resolution of potential litigation, participated in translating the Press Release into Chinese. In 1998, CT Lee was an attorney employed with Tsar & Tsai in Taiwan and living in Taiwan. Today, Mr. Lee is an attorney employed with Lee, Tsai & Partners in Taiwan and lives in Taiwan. Plaintiffs have not provided sufficient information as to the origin or authenticity of the documents shown in Exhibit 2 attached to the Taiwan Plaintiffs' interrogatories. To the best of Bayer's current understanding, Bayer does not believe the English-language document shown in Exhibit 2 is an authentic version of the Press Release, and takes no position with respect to the origin or authenticity of the Chinese-language document.

4. With regard to the February 19, 1998 News Release (Exhibit 2), Identify each person who approved its language.

RESPONSE: Bayer objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, harassing, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it seeks information not relevant to the Court's forum non conveniens determination. It also seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, as well as attorney work-product. Subject to and without waiving these objections and its general objections, and without waiving any applicable privileges and protections, Bayer responds that, to the best of Bayer's current understanding, Dr. Michael Strucksberg, an attorney living in Germany and employed at Bayer AG (Bayer's parent company), in the course of representing Bayer AG as legal counsel in connection with the resolution of potential litigation, and possibly others, participated in the decision to approve the language of a version of a press release issued in February 1998 ("Press Release"). Today, Dr. Strucksberg is retired and lives in Germany. Plaintiffs have not provided sufficient information as to the origin or authenticity of the documents shown in Exhibit 2 attached to the Taiwan Plaintiffs' interrogatories. To the best of Bayer's current understanding, Bayer does not believe the English-language document shown in Exhibit 2 is an authentic version of the Press Release, and takes no position with respect to the origin or authenticity of the Chinese-language document.

5. With regard to the February 19, 1998 News Release (Exhibit 2), Identify each person who authorized the release.

RESPONSE: Bayer objects that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, harassing, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and that it seeks information not relevant to the Court's forum non convenient determination. It also seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, as well as attorney work-product. Subject to and without waiving these objections and its general objections, and without waiving any applicable privileges and protections, Bayer responds that, to the best of Bayer's current understanding, Dr. Michael Strucksberg, an attorney living in Germany and employed at Bayer AG (Bayer's parent company), in the course of representing Bayer AG as legal counsel in connection with the resolution of potential litigation, and possibly others, participated in the decision to authorize the release of a February 1998 Press Release ("Press Release"). Today, Dr. Strucksberg is retired and lives in Germany. Plaintiffs have not provided sufficient information as to the origin or authenticity of the documents shown in Exhibit 2 attached to the Taiwan Plaintiffs' interrogatories. To the best of Bayer's current understanding, Bayer does not believe the English-language document shown in Exhibit 2 is an authentic version of the Press Release, and takes no position with respect to the origin or authenticity of the Chinese-language document.

4

Dated: October 25, 2007

As to objections:

int lap Ми/ Philip S. Beck

Lindley J. Brenza Kaspar J. Stoffelmayr Carolyn J. Frantz BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT LLP 54 West Hubbard Street, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60610 Telephone: (312) 494-4400 Facsimile: (312) 494-4440

Geoffrey R.W. Smith GEOFFREY SMITH, PLLC 1350 I Street NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 625-1224 Facsimile: (202) 333-1637

Duncan Barr O'CONNOR, COHN, DILLON & BARR 2405 16th Street San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 281-8888 Facsimile: (415) 503-4117

Attorneys for Bayer Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **Bayer's Responses and Objections To Taiwan Plaintiffs' Interrogatories 3, 4, and 5** were served this 26th day of October, 2007 by by Federal Express on the following parties:

Michael L. Baum Baum, Hedlund, Aristei, Goldman & Menzies, P.C. 12100 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90025-7114

Patrick McNicholas McNicholas & McNicholas, LLP 10866 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90024

Nicholas R. Diamand Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Berstein, LLP 780 Third Avenue, 48th Floor New York, NY 10017-2024

Valerie Conzo Sheldon J. Schlesinger, P.A. 1212 Southeast Third Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

Elizabeth J. Cabraser Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Berstein, LLP 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Carolyn Franks /ajr

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINIOS EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE FACTOR VIII OR IX CONCENTRATION BLOOD PRODUCTS LITIGATION

MDL No. 986 No. 93 C 7452

This document relates to:

Peng, et al. v. Bayer Corp. et al., Case No. 04-cv-04868: Chang, et al. v. Bayer Corp. et al., Case No. 04-cv-04869: Ho, et al. v. Bayer Corp. et al., Case No. 06-cv-07012

VERIFICATION

I. Keith Abrams, Vice President, Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary, submit this Verification on behalf of Bayer Corporation ("Bayer"). I have read the foregoing Bayer's Responses and Objections to Taiwan Plaintiffs' Interrogatories 3, 4, and 5. The Responses and objections contained therein were prepared with the assistance of employees of and counsel for Bayer, upon which I have relied. The responses set forth therein, subject to inadvertent and undiscovered errors, are based upon and necessarily limited by the records and information still in existence, presently recollected, and thus far discovered in the course of preparation of these responses. Subject to the limitations as set forth herein, said responses are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Dated this 25th day of October 2007.

BAYER CORPORATION

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

County of Allegheny

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to me before me, a Notary Public, personally appeared Keith R. Abrams, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purposes therein contained. On this 25th day of October 2007.

)) ss.

)

<u> Margott Setewag</u>o Notary Public

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA Notarial Seal Margret H. Setcavage, Notary Public

Robinson Twp., Allegheny County My Commission Expires Sept. 10, 2011 Member, Pennsylvania Association of Notaries