EXHIBIT 9

Case 3:16-md-92741-14Ga Pocument-2559-10t Filest 01/25/19: Page 2066

```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
   -----X
4 IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS ) MDL No. 2741
5 LIABILITY LITIGATION
                              ) Case No.
6
7
                   ) 16-md-02741-VC
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL )
   CASES
                              )
10
11
   CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
12
13
14 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MARK A. MARTENS, Ph.D.
15
                    WASHINGTON, D.C.
                 FRIDAY, APRIL 7, 2017
16
17
                      9:23 A.M.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Reported by: Leslie A. Todd
```

Okay. And those --1 0 2. MR. GRIFFIS: Excuse me. Objection. Two of those are non-recommendations. 3 4 MS. WAGSTAFF: Okay. 5 MR. GRIFFIS: G and H. BY MS. WAGSTAFF: 6 7 So he gives you a handful of recommendations of actions to take to answer those 8 questions, correct? Well, there are a couple of points 10 11 whereby he does not recommend something. 12 O Okay. 13 A Yeah. 14 0 So that's I think what your counsel was just saying is that -- so let's make this a little 15 16 bit cleaner. 17 Dr. Parry gave a list of eight questions 18 that were left unanswered, correct? 19 That he would like to see answered, yes. 20 Okay. And as a scientist, you would have O 21 liked to see those answered as well, correct? 22 These were genuine questions, yes. Α 23 Yeah. Good questions, right? 0 24 These were good questions, yes. A 25 Okay. And he provided with a list of Q

- 1 actions that Monsanto could take to answer those
 - 2 questions, correct?
 - 3 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And we've gone over a few of
- 5 those.
- 6 So then Dr. Parry says at the very end of
- 7 his recommendations: "My overall view is that if
- 8 there is -- my overall view is that if the reported
- genotoxicity of glyphosate and glyphosate
- 10 formulations can be shown to be due to the production
- of oxidative damage, then a case could be made that
- 12 any genetic damage would be threshold."
- Did I read that correctly?
- 14 A You read it, yes.
- Okay. "Such genetic damage would only be
- 16 biologically relevant under conditions of compromised
- 17 anti -- antioxidant status. If such an oxidative
- damage mechanism is proved, then it may be necessary
- 19 to consider the possibility of the susceptible groups
- 20 within the human population."
- Did I read that correctly?
- 22 A You read that correctly, yes.
- Q Okay. So there is an expert telling
- 24 Monsanto in 1999 to do tests that may affect the
- 25 human population, correct?

- Case 3:16-md-92741-14G a pocument 2559+10+ Filest 01/25/19: Page 5.066 MR. GRIFFIS: Objection. 1 2. Mischaracterizes the document. 3 THE WITNESS: This is a little bit an 4 expanded conclusion. You know, he is more or less 5 asking himself the question. If that might be true, then there may be susceptible groups in a population 6 7 that might be more susceptible in producing an 8 effect. But he forgets to say those effects have been, you know, obtained through intraperitoneal 10 injection, whereas the human exposure is not via 11 intraperitoneal injection. And that's a very 12 important nuance.
- 13 BY MS. WAGSTAFF:
- Q So I don't -- how do you know he forgot
- 15 to say that?
- 16 A I don't know why he didn't point it out.
- 17 That's why --
- 18 Q But he didn't point it out, did he?
- 19 A Intra -- well, that is limited to
- 20 intraperitoneal injection. Not sufficiently --
- 21 Q So you may -- you may not agree with
- 22 what Dr. Parry wrote, but I'm not asking you to
- 23 rewrite his report.
- I'm asking you in 1999, Dr. Parry wrote
- to Monsanto and -- and did an analysis, gave

```
questions unanswered, right?
 1
               Yes.
 2.
          Α
 3
               Proposed actions that could be taken,
 4
    right?
 5
          Α
               Yes.
               And then stated that the over -- his
 6
    overall view is that these tests and answers need to
    be taken, right?
 9
          A Yes.
10
          Q And then you need to figure out what --
    what group within the human population may be
11
12
    affected, correct?
13
               MR. GRIFFIS: Objection. It doesn't say
14
    that.
               THE WITNESS: That -- that is what he
15
16
    said.
17
               MS. WAGSTAFF: Okay.
18
               THE WITNESS: But I don't agree with what
    he said because --
19
20
    BY MS. WAGSTAFF:
21
               That's -- you can -- that's fine if you
22
    don't agree with what he said. I'm just -- that's
23
    what he told Monsanto, correct?
24
               That's what he told Monsanto, yes.
          A
25
          Q Okay. All right. I have -- we're done
```